

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/577,110	KETELAARS ET AL	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
RICHARD M. BEMBEN	2622		
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>71</u>		
(1) <u>RICHARD M. BEMBEN</u>	(3) _____		
(2) <u>Dan Piotrowski (Reg. No. 42,079)</u>	(4) _____		
Date of Interview: <u>2 February 2010</u>	Time: <u>10:15AM EST</u>		
Type of Interview:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative)		
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	If Yes, provide a brief description: _____		
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed:	1, 6, and 7		
Prior art documents discussed:			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:			
<i>The examiner proposed amendments to place the application in condition for allowance; applicant's representative accepted. See the accompanying Examiner's Amendment.</i>			
Part III.			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.			
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)			